

1 MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)
United States Attorney

2 MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630)
3 Chief, Criminal Division

4 LOWELL C. POWELL (CABN 235446)
Special Assistant United States Attorney

5 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
6 San Francisco, California 94102
7 Telephone: (415) 436-7368
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234
8 E-Mail: lowell.powell2@usdoj.gov

9 Attorneys for the United States of America

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
13

14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
15 Plaintiff,)
16 v.)
17 EDUARDO LOPEZ HERNANDEZ,)
18 Defendant.)
19

No. CR 11-0626 EMC

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18
U.S.C. § 3161**

20 On September 28, 2011, the parties in this case appeared before the Court. At that time,
21 the Court set the matter to November 30, 2011. The parties have agreed to exclude the period of
22 time between September 28, 2011 and November 30, 2011, from any time limits applicable
23 under 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The parties represented that granting the exclusion would allow the
24 reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. §
25 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an
26 exclusion of time outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18
27 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

28 ///

STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
CR 11-0626 EMC

1 At the hearing, the Court made findings consistent with this agreement.

2 SO STIPULATED:

3
4 MELINDA HAAG
United States Attorney

5
6 DATED: October 24, 2011

7 /s/
LOWELL C. POWELL
Special Assistant United States Attorney

8
9 DATED: October 24, 2011

10 /s/
RONALD TYLER
Attorney for EDUARDO LOPEZ HERNANDEZ

1 [PROPOSED] ORDER

2 For the reasons stated above and at the September 28, 2011 hearing, the Court finds that
3 the exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 of the period from
4 September 28, 2011 through November 30, 2011 is warranted and that the ends of justice served
5 by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
6 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(A). Denying the requested exclusion of time would deprive the parties of
7 the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due
8 diligence. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

9
10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11
12 DATED: 10/26/11

13 THE HONORABLE
14 United States District Judge

